Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

# Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs





#### Anders Johansen Leiden Observatory, Leiden University

"Workshop on the Magnetorotational Instability in Protoplanetary Disks" (Kobe University, June 2009) Collaborators: Andrew Youdin, Hubert Klahr, Wladimir Lyra, Mordecai-Mark Mac Low, Thomas Henning) a (\*\*

### Planet formation

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Planets form in protoplanetary discs from dust grains that collide and stick together (planetesimal hypothesis of Safronov, 1969).

• From dust to planetesimals

 $\mu m \rightarrow m:$  Contact forces in collisions cause sticking m  $\rightarrow$  km:  $\ref{main}$ 

- From planetesimals to protoplanets  $km \rightarrow 1,000 \ km$ : Gravity
- From protoplanets to planets Terrestrial planets: Protoplanets collide Gas planets: Solid core attracts gaseous envelope



#### Planetesimals

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

- Kilometer-sized objects massive enough to attract each other by gravity (two-body encounters)
- Building blocks of planets
- Formation:
  - $\mu m \rightarrow cm:$  Dust grains collide and stick

(Blum & Wurm 2000)

•  $cm \rightarrow km$ : Sticking or gravitational instability

(Safronov 1969, Goldreich & Ward 1973, Weidenschilling & Cuzzi 1993)

• Dynamics of turbulent gas important for modelling dust grains and boulders



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

William K. Hartmann

#### Overview of planets

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



Protoplanetary discs

Dust grains





Terrestrial planets





Countless asteroids and Kuiper belt objects Moons of giant planets +More than 300 exoplanets +

Dwarf planets

## Particle dynamics

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Gas accelerates solid particles through drag force:

In the Epstein drag force regime, when the particle is much smaller than the mean free path of the gas molecules, the friction time is (Weidenschilling 1977) a. : Particle radius

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial t} = \dots - \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm f}} (\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{u})$ Particle velocity

 $\tau_{\rm f} = \frac{a_{\bullet}\rho_{\bullet}}{c_{\rm s}\rho_{\rm g}}$ 

- ρ. Material density

Gas velocity

- $c_{\rm S}$ : Sound speed
- $\rho_{\sigma}$ : Gas density

Important nondimensional parameter in protoplanetary discs:

 $\Omega_{\rm K} \tau_{\rm f}$  (Stokes number)

At r = 5 AU we can approximately write  $a_{\bullet}/m \sim 0.3 \Omega_{\rm K} \tau_{\rm f}$ .

## Diffusion-sedimentation equilibrium

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

# Diffusion-sedimentation equilibrium:

 $\frac{H_{\rm dust}}{H_{\rm gas}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{\rm t}}{\varOmega_{\rm K}\tau_{\rm f}}}$ 

 $\label{eq:Hdust} \textit{H}_{\rm dust} = \text{scale height of dust-to-gas} \\ \textit{ratio}$ 

 $H_{\rm gas} =$  scale height of gas

 $\delta_{\rm t} = {\rm turbulent} \ {\rm diffusion} \ {\rm coefficient}, \\ {\rm like} \ \alpha {\rm -value}$ 

 $\varOmega_K \tau_f =$  Stokes number, proportional to radius of solid particles



(Johansen & Klahr 2005)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Diffusion coefficient

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Definition of Schmidt number:

$$\mathrm{Sc} = \nu_{\mathrm{t}} / D_{\mathrm{t}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{t}} / \delta_{\mathrm{t}}$$

• From the scale-height of the dust one can calculate the diffusion coefficient:  $\delta_t = \delta_t(H_{dust})$ 



- Johansen & Klahr (2005):  $Sc_z \simeq 1.5$ ,  $Sc_x \simeq 1$ (Turner et al. 2006:  $Sc_z \simeq 1$ ; Fromang & Papaloizou 2006:  $Sc_z \simeq 3$ )
- Carballido, Stone, & Pringle (2005):  $Sc_x \simeq 10$
- Johansen, Klahr, & Mee (2006): The ratio between diffusion and viscosity depends on the strength of an imposed magnetic field

### The role of the Schmidt number



Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



#### Safronov (1969):

- Dust grains coagulate and gradually decouple from the gas
- Sediment to form a thin mid-plane layer in the disc
- Planetesimals form by continued coagulation or self-gravity (or combination) in dense mid-plane layer

#### HOWEVER:

MRI-driven turbulence very efficient at diffusing dust

Need to look at how larger particles react to turbulence

#### Dust nomenclature

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MH turbulence

Planetesima formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global model

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

• My suggestion for naming solid particles (not official): Diameter Name

	<1 mm	Dust	
1HD e nal	1 mm	Sand	
vs	1 cm	Pebble, gravel	
odels g and	10 cm	Cobble, rock	5
es	> 1 m	Boulder	

(日)

## Radial drift

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Balance between drag force and head wind gives radial drift speed (Weidenschilling 1977)

$$u_{
m drift} = -rac{2}{arOmega_{
m K} au_{
m f} + (arOmega_{
m K} au_{
m f})^{-1}} \eta 
u_{
m K}$$

for Epstein drag law (solids smaller than gas mean free path).



- MMSN  $\eta$  from Cuzzi et al. 1993
- Maximum drift speed of 50 m/s
- Drift time-scale of 50-100 orbits for solids of 30 cm in radius at 5 AU, but 1 cm at 100 AU

#### Boulders in turbulence

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Johansen, Klahr, & Henning (2006): 2,000,000 boulders moving in magnetorotational turbulence



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ 厘 の��

### Gas density bumps



Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Strong correlation between high gas density and high particle density (Johansen, Klahr, & Henning 2006)

э.

• Solid particles are caught in gas overdensities

(Whipple 1972, Klahr & Lin 2001, Haghighipour & Boss 2003)

• Gravoturbulent formation of planetesimals

#### Gas density bumps

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Strong correlation between high gas density and high particle density (Johansen, Klahr, & Henning 2006)

ъ

• Solid particles are caught in gas overdensities

(Whipple 1972, Klahr & Lin 2001, Haghighipour & Boss 2003)

• Gravoturbulent formation of planetesimals

## Pressure gradient trapping

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Outer edge:

Gas sub-Keplerian. Particles forced by gas drag to move inwards.

• Inner edge:

Gas super-Keplerian. Particles forced by gas drag to move outwards.



э

#### Global models

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Fromang & Nelson (2005): Dust concentrates in long-lived vortex

Dust density (5 cm and 25 cm):



Gas density and vorticity  $(\omega_z)$ :



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Increasing box size

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

0.80

0.40 0.40

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

• Stratified shearing box simulations with increasing box size

100 80  $t/T_{orb}$ 60 1.00 💆  $T_{ob}$ 40 20 -0.5 0.0 0.5 r/H100 60  $t/T_{\rm obb}$ 4020 -2.5 -2.0-15 -1.0-0.5

Orbital advection algorithm wi (Fourier interpolate the Kepler

- No spurious density depres (Johnson et al. 2008)
- Pressure bumps of few pe reappear at time-scales of



Plot by T. Sano

## Zonal flow

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johanser

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ●□

- Large scale variation in Maxwell stress launches zonal flows
- Pressure bumps form as zonal flows are slightly compressive
- Balance between turbulent diffusion and compression gives  $|\hat{\rho}| \propto k_x^{-2}$
- Johansen, Youdin, & Klahr (2009): Zonal flows in accretion discs

#### Examples of zonal flow – planets

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

#### Definition of zonal flow:

#### Axisymmetric large scale variation in rotation velocity



- Saturn and Jupiter show steady zonal flows
- Driven by convection (inverse hydrodynamical cascade)

#### Examples of zonal flow – the Sun

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

- On top of the Sun's differential rotation there is a zonal flow of amplitude approximately 3 m/s
- Discovered in 1980 from very precise measurements of the solar rotation

(Howard & Bonte 1980)

- Migrates with the solar cycle
- Zonal flows (or torsional oscillations) are launched by the magnetic tension associated with large scale magnetic fields





#### Stress variation

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

# Resistive $2.56H \times 2.56H \times 1.28H$ simulation at $256 \times 256 \times 128$ grid points ( $Re_M = 12500$ , Pm = 3.75):



- Turbulent viscosity  $\alpha \approx 0.005$
- Stress variation of 10%-20%
- Stress correlation time of a few orbits
- Density bumps and zonal flows correlated on tens of orbits

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Analytical model

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



#### Analytical model of zonal flow excitation and saturation

Need to connect a known (measured) stress and stress variation to amplitude of density bumps and zonal flows

- Forcing of the zonal flow by stress variation
- Geostrophic balance between pressure bump and zonal flow envelope

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Damped random walk model

#### Variation in stress

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Linearised, axisymmetric evolution equation for  $u_y$ :

$$\frac{\partial u_y'}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega u_x' + T'$$

The tension term T' describes momentum transport by Maxwell stress:

$$T' = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial \langle B_x B_y \rangle}{\partial x}$$

$$M = -\mu_0^{-1} \langle B_x B_y \rangle$$

In shearing sheet the tension is simply the derivative of the Maxwell stress variation:

$$T' = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial M'}{\partial x}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Zonal flow dynamical equations

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Linearised equation system for zonal flow excitation (hats denote wave amplitudes):

$$0 = 2\Omega \hat{u}_{y} - \frac{c_{s}^{2}}{\rho_{0}} ik_{0}\hat{\rho}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{u}_{y}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega \hat{u}_{x} + \hat{T}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -\rho_{0}ik_{0}\hat{u}_{x} - \frac{1}{\tau_{mix}}\hat{\rho}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Assumed geostrophic balance between zonal flow and pressure bump
- Density evolution includes turbulent diffusion term acting on time-scale  $\tau_{\rm mix}$

### Solutions

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

#### Combine the three equations to get

#### Master equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{1+k_0^2H^2} \left(\hat{F} - \frac{\hat{\rho}(t)}{\tau_{\mathrm{mix}}}\right)$$

$$\hat{F} = -2\mathrm{i}k_0\rho_0\Omega^{-1}\hat{T}$$

Straight forward solution:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\rm eq} = \tau_{\rm mix} \hat{F}$$

Only valid if correlation time of stress variation larger than mixing time-scale. Need to model as damped random walk. Exciting at time-scale  $\tau_{\rm for}$  and damping on time-scale  $\tau_{\rm mix}$ .

#### Damped random walk



#### Random walk solution

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

#### Solution involves product of forcing and mixing time-scales:

#### Random walk solution

$$rac{\hat{
ho}_{
m eq}}{
ho_0} = 2\sqrt{c_k au_{
m for} au_{
m mix}} H k_0 rac{\hat{T}}{c_{
m s}}$$

$$c_k = \frac{1}{1+k_0^2 H^2}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\rho}_{\rm eq} & \propto \ k_0^{-1} & \mbox{ for } k_0 H \gg 1 \\ \hat{\rho}_{\rm eq} & \propto \ \mbox{ const} & \mbox{ for } k_0 H \ll 1 \end{split}$$

・ ● ・ ◆ 三 ・ ◆ 三 ・ りへの

How to find amplitude of zonal flow:

- Take  $\rho_0$ , H,  $\Omega$  from disc model
- Read off  $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ ,  $au_{\mathrm{mix}}$  and  $au_{\mathrm{for}}$  from simulation
- Solution gives  $\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{eq}}$  at a given scale  $k_0$
- Coostrophic balance river û from ô

#### Comparison to simulation



Anders Johanser

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



- Turbulent mixing time-scale  $\tau_{\rm mix} \approx 1/(k_0^2 D) \approx 6 T_{\rm orb}$ • Stress variation of  $\widehat{B_x B_y} \sim 10^{-3}$
- Stress correlation time of a few orbits
- Formula predicts pressure bump amplitude of  $\hat{
  ho}_{
  m eq} pprox$  0.08
- In fairly good agreement with the measured  $\hat{
  ho}_{\mathrm{eq}} pprox 0.05$

#### Global models

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Lyra, Johansen, Klahr, & Piskunov (2008):

• Global disc with boulders on Cartesian grid (disk-in-a-box)



## Space-time plots

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Gas density structure from Lyra et al. (2008):



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

#### Stress variation

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



- At any given time there are approximately 10% variations in the  $\alpha\text{-value}$
- This is enough to launch zonal flows
- Similar variations reported in Fromang & Nelson (2006)

#### Inverse cascade

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Plots show power contribution of different terms in the induction equation:

- Magnetic energy cascades to largest scales in the box
- Happens through the advection term
- Excites large scale variation in Maxwell stress
- Very little large scale activity in the vertical field component



## Streaming instability

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and

Dead zones

Conclusions

- Gas rotates slightly slower than Keplerian
- Particles lose angular momentum due to headwind
- Particle clumps locally reduce headwind and are fed by isolated particles



• Imposed to the test of test o

-

- Nakagawa, Sekiva, & Havashi (1986); Equilibrium flow solution
- Youdin & Goodman (2005): "Streaming instability" (also Goodman & Pindor 2000) ۰
  - Johansen, Henning, & Klahr (2006); Youdin & Johansen (2007);
  - Johansen & Youdin (2007); Ishitsu, Inutsuka, & Sekiya (2009)

## Streaming instability

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

#### Youdin & Goodman (2005) : "Streaming Instabilities in Protoplanetary Disks"



- Gas rotates slower than Keplerian because of radial pressure gradient
- Gas and solid components "stream" relative to each other

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

- Radial drift flow of solids is *linearly unstable*
- Growth on dynamical time-scale for marginally coupled solids (rocks/boulders)

## Clumping

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Linear and non-linear evolution of radial drift flow of meter-sized boulders ( $\Omega_{\rm K} \tau_{\rm f} = 1$ ):



Strong clumping in non-linear state of the streaming instability

(Youdin & Johansen 2007, Johansen & Youdin 2007)

▲口 ▶ ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ― 臣 ― ≪

# Clumping in 3-D



Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

3-D evolution of the streaming instability:



- Particle clumps have up to 100 times the gas density
- Clumps dense enough to be gravitationally unstable
- But still too simplified: no vertical gravity

#### Pebbles

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Some overdense regions occur, but weak, and coupling with gas too strong for self-gravity to be important

#### Pebbles

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



Baroclinic instability of uy(z) shear?
 (Ishitsu & Sekiya 2002; Ishitsu et al. 2009)

#### Baroclinic instability?

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



э

• Ishitsu & Sekiya (2002), Ishitsu et al. (2009)

#### Rocks

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Higher overdensities, due to the streaming instability, but still with short correlation times

#### Boulders

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



• Almost no overdensities. Violent turbulent motion puffs up and dilutes mid-plane layer.

### Clumping depends strongly on metallicity

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

- Increase  $\Sigma_{
  m par}/\Sigma_{
  m gas}$  from 0.01 to 0.03
- All particles between 1.5 and 15 centimetres



#### The exoplanet zoo

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

- First planet around solar-type star discovered in 1995 (Mayor & Queloz)
- Since then 340 planets discovered
- Exoplanet probability rises steeply with heavy element abundance of host star:



#### Overdense seeds

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

Dust column density as a function of radial coordinate x and time t measured in orbits:



Turbulent overdensities combined with streaming instability create transient, overdense "seeds" where self-gravity is important.

## Formation of Ceres-mass object from rocks and boulders



## Forming planet embryos



## Forming planet embryos



Conclusions

#### Dead zones

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



- Transition from active accretion to dead zones triggers Rossby wave instability in pile up of gas (Varnière & Tagger 2006; Inaba & Barge 2006)
- Rossby vortices trap particles
- Formation of Mars or Earth size planets by self-gravity

(日)、

- 3

• Lyra et al. (2008, 2009)

#### Mass spectrum



#### Conclusions

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions



- MRI can play a crucial role in the formation of planets
- $\bullet\,$  Zonal flows are excited by  $\approx\!\!10\%$  radial variation in the Maxwell stress of magnetorotational turbulence
- MRI and streaming instability can interact constructively
- Convergence zones concentrate solids and allow the formation of 1000 km sized planet embryos by gravity
- MRI good for planet formation even in its absence Rossby vortices excited at transition from dead to active regions

## Open questions

Planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs

> Anders Johansen

Planet formation

Dust in MHD turbulence

Planetesimal formation

Zonal flows

Analytical model

Global models

Streaming and self-gravity

Dead zones

Conclusions

- What sets the scale of zonal flows?
- Do collision speeds of MRI turbulence lead to growth or to destruction of dust agglomorates?
- Can we even assume MRI to be operative in planet forming regions?
- Would turbulent simulations of dead zones lead to Rossby wave instability and vortices?
- How do you grow enough pebbles to launch the streaming instability?

- How does coagulation and fragmentation proceed in a gravitationally contracting clump?
- What is the relative importance of streaming, Kelvin-Helmholtz and baroclinic instabilities in the mid-plane layer?

• ..