Standard Accretion Disks Driven by MRI Stress — comparison with the α -viscosity model —

Shigenobu Hirose (Institute for Research on Earth Evolution, JAMSTEC)

collaboration with Omer Blaes (UCSB) and Julian Krolik (JHU)

2009/06/02 Workshop on MRI in Protoplanetary Disks Center for Planetary Science, Kobe University

definition

- optically thick
- geometrically thin: $H \ll R$ (nearly Keplerian: $v_{\text{sound}} \ll R\Omega_{\text{K}}$)
- vertical hydrostatic balance
- ► local thermal balance: $Q^+_{diss}(r) = Q^-_{rad}(r)$



local structure

- dynamical time: $t_{dynamical} \equiv H/v_{sound}$
- thermal time: $t_{\text{thermal}} \equiv \mathcal{E}_{\text{thermal}} / Q^{\pm}$

global structure

• inflow time: $t_{inflow} \equiv R / v_r$

sharp difference in the timescales

$$t_{
m orbital} \sim t_{
m dynamical} < t_{
m thermal} \ll t_{
m inflow}$$

local structure (one zone approximation)

$$H = \frac{2P_{c}}{\Sigma\Omega_{K}^{2}}$$
$$-\frac{3}{4}T_{r\phi}\Omega_{K} = \frac{2acT_{c}^{4}}{3\kappa\Sigma}$$
$$P_{c} = \frac{a}{3}T_{c}^{4} + \frac{\Sigma k_{B}T_{c}}{2\mu H}$$
$$T_{r\phi} = -2H\alpha P_{c}$$
$$\Sigma = \text{constant}$$

hydrostatic balance

thermal balance

equation of state

lpha prescription $t_{
m dynamical}, t_{
m thermal} \ll t_{
m inflow}$



global structure

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r \Sigma v_r) = 0$$

$$\Sigma v_r \Omega_{\rm K} r^2 = -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 T_{r\phi})$$

mass conservation

angular momentum conservation

Thermal Stability of the α Model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1976)

equation for
$$\delta T_{c} (\equiv T_{c} - T_{c}|_{Q^{+}=Q^{-}})$$

$$\frac{\partial \delta T_{\rm c}}{\partial t} \propto \left(\left. \frac{\partial \log Q^+}{\partial \log T_{\rm c}} \right|_{\Sigma} - \left. \frac{\partial \log Q^-}{\partial \log T_{\rm c}} \right|_{\Sigma} \right) \delta T_{\rm c}$$

note: Σ is assumed to be constant since $t_{\text{thermal}} (\ll t_{\text{inflow}})$.



Inflow Stability of the α Model (Lightman & Eardley 1974)

diffusion equation for $\delta \Sigma (\equiv \Sigma - \Sigma_{\text{steady state}})$

$$\frac{\partial \delta \Sigma}{\partial t} \propto \left. \frac{\partial \log T_{r\phi}}{\partial \log \Sigma} \right|_{Q^+ = Q^-} \frac{\partial \delta \Sigma}{\partial r^2}$$

note: $Q^+ = Q^-$ is assumed since $t_{inflow} (\gg t_{thermal})$.



modern view of stress in accretion disks

MHD turbulence driven by magneto-rotational instability (MRI)

modern model of standard accretion disks

- vertical structure with local dissipation of turbulence and radiative transport
- > 3D radiation MHD simulations in a stratified local shearing box
- Iocal equilibrium solution in an averaged sense

 $H = H(\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathsf{K}})$ $P_{\mathsf{c}} = P_{\mathsf{c}}(\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathsf{K}})$ $T_{\mathsf{c}} = T_{\mathsf{c}}(\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathsf{K}})$ $T_{r\phi} = T_{r\phi}(\Sigma, \Omega_{\mathsf{K}}) \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{thermal equilibrium curve}$

- Brandenburg et al.(1995)
- Stone et al.(1996)
- Miller & Stone (2000)
- Turner (2004)
- Hirose et al. (2006)
- Krolik et al. (2007)
- Blaes et al. (2007)
- Johansen & Levin (2008)
- Suzuki & Inutsuka (2009)
- Hirose et al. (2009)
- ► ...

Basic Equations

radiation MHD equations with FLD approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{v}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial (\rho \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}) &= -\nabla (p+q) + \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}) \times \boldsymbol{B} + \frac{(\bar{\kappa}_{\text{ff}}^{\text{R}} + \kappa_{\text{es}})\rho}{c} \boldsymbol{F} + \boldsymbol{f}_{\text{shearing box}} \\ \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (e\boldsymbol{v}) &= -(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v})(p+q) - (4\pi \boldsymbol{B} - c\boldsymbol{E})\bar{\kappa}_{\text{ff}}^{\text{P}} \rho - c\boldsymbol{E}\kappa_{\text{es}}\rho \frac{4k_{\text{B}}(T - T_{\text{rad}})}{m_{\text{e}}c^{2}} \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}) &= -\nabla \boldsymbol{v}: \boldsymbol{P} + (4\pi \boldsymbol{B} - c\boldsymbol{E})\bar{\kappa}_{\text{ff}}^{\text{P}} \rho + c\boldsymbol{E}\kappa_{\text{es}}\rho \frac{4k_{\text{B}}(T - T_{\text{rad}})}{m_{\text{e}}c^{2}} - \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}) &= 0 \\ \boldsymbol{F} &= -\frac{c\lambda}{(\bar{\kappa}_{\text{ff}}^{\text{F}} + \kappa_{\text{es}})\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{E} \end{aligned}$$
no explicit resistivity and
$$\boldsymbol{F} = -\frac{c\lambda}{(\bar{\kappa}_{\text{ff}}^{\text{F}} + \kappa_{\text{es}})\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{E} \end{aligned}$$

numerical method

- hydro part: ZEUS
- magnetic part: MOC+CT
- radiation diffusion part (implicit): multigrid SOR

Simulation Setup



simulation box

- stratfied shearing box
- $\Omega_{\rm K} = 190 {\rm s}^{-1}$ ($M/M_{\odot} = 6.62, r/r_{\rm g} = 30$)

initial condition

- gas and radiation
 - hydrostatic in z without B
- magnetic field
 - twisted flux tube in y of $\beta \simeq 20$

parameters

- surface density Σ
- initial guess of Q⁺ (, or thermal energy content) ⇒ gas/radiation-dominated



Fig. 2.— Time averaged effective temperature of the radiation leaving each vertical face of the box, as a function of surface mass density for each simulation. From right to left, the solid curves show the predictions of alpha disk models with $\alpha = 0.01, 0.02, \text{ and } 0.03,$ respectively. (See the Appendix for the equations used to define these alpha parameters.)

Radiation-dominated Disk Solution

- parameters
 - $\Sigma = 1.1 \times 10^5 \text{gcm}^{-2}$
 - guessed $Q^+ = 9.4 \times 10^{21} \text{ergcm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$



../mov/emag.mov

- ► radiation-dominated: $E_{\rm rad} \sim 20E_{\rm gas}$
- ► stable for 600t_{orbit} ~ 40t_{thermal}
- time variations (quasi-steady state)
 - MHD turbulence driven by MRI
 - magnetic buoyancy (Parker instability)
 - vertical oscillation (epicyclic mode, breathing mode)

Local Structure: Hydrostatic Balance



Local Structure: Thermal Balance



- radiation advection: $d < Ev_z > /dz$
 - transports the excess energy
 - associated with vertical oscillation, not buoyancy

 $\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt}$

thermal instability in the α model

$$\frac{\alpha\Omega}{4}\mathcal{F}(t) - \frac{c\Omega}{\kappa\sqrt{3\Sigma}}\sqrt{\mathcal{F}(t)} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\mathcal{F}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{3}{4}T_{r\phi}(t)\Omega_{\rm K} - \frac{2acT_{\rm c}^{4}(t)}{3\kappa\Sigma}\\ T_{r\phi}(t) = -\alpha P(t) \end{cases}$$

$$T_{r\phi} \text{ synchronized with } P$$

• $\mathcal{I}_B - \mathcal{I}$ relation in the simulation (in place of $T_{r\phi} - P$ relation)



a toy model that allows a time lag between \mathcal{E}_B and \mathcal{E}

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{B}(t)}{t_{\text{diss}}} - \frac{\mathcal{E}(t)}{t_{\text{cool}}(\mathcal{E}(t_{0})) \left(\mathcal{E}(t)/\mathcal{E}(t_{0})\right)^{s}} \\ \frac{d\mathcal{E}_{B}(t)}{dt} = R(t) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{B}(t_{0})}{t_{\text{grow}}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(t)}{\mathcal{E}(t_{0})}\right)^{n} - \frac{\mathcal{E}_{B}(t)}{t_{\text{diss}}} \right\}$$

instability criterion (1-s) < n

• thermally stable solution: (1 - s) = 1, n = 0



Thermal Equilibrium Curve



Fig. 2.— Time averaged effective temperature of the radiation leaving each vertical face of the box, as a function of surface mass density for each simulation. From right to left, the solid curves show the predictions of alpha disk models with $\alpha = 0.01, 0.02, \text{ and } 0.03,$ respectively. (See the Appendix for the equations used to define these alpha parameters.)

Summary

Comparison between the α disks and MRI disks

	α disks	MRI disks
hydrostatic pressure	thermal	thermal magnetic ^{a)}
energy transport	radiation diffusion	radiation diffusion radiation advection ^{b)}
stress-pressure correlation	yes	yes ^{c)}
thermal stability	rad: unstable gas: stable	rad: <mark>stable^{d)}</mark> gas: stable

- a) important in the upper subphotospheric layers
- b) important in the radiation dominated regime
- c) on timescales longer than t_{thermal}
- d) time lag between stress and pressureis necessary
 - intrinsic fluctuation of turbulence is longer than t_{cool}

Future Works

- construction of a new standard accretion disk model
 - thermal equilibrium curves at different radii

$$\begin{split} \dot{M} &= \dot{M}(\Sigma; \Omega_{\mathsf{K}}(r)) \\ H &= H(\Sigma; \Omega_{\mathsf{K}}(r)) \\ P_{\mathsf{c}} &= P_{\mathsf{c}}(\Sigma; \Omega_{\mathsf{K}}(r)) \\ T_{\mathsf{c}} &= T_{\mathsf{c}}(\Sigma; \Omega_{\mathsf{K}}(r)) \end{split}$$

- radial distriubutions of Σ with different mass accretion rates

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}; \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}) \\ \boldsymbol{H} &= \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{r}; \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}) \\ \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathsf{c}} &= \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathsf{c}}(\boldsymbol{r}; \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}) \\ \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathsf{c}} &= \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathsf{c}}(\boldsymbol{r}; \dot{\boldsymbol{M}}) \end{split}$$

- application of our method to construct a protoplanetary disk model
 - MRI in weakly ionized plasma
 - dead zone
 - complicated thermodynamics
 - heating sources other than the turbulent dissipation
 - cooling mechanisms other than the thermal radiation
 - dust grains
 - ▶ ...

Origin of the (Vertical) Radiation Advection Ev_z

 Energy transport in the core is not associated with convection or buoyancy.



Spacial and temporal behavior of Evz



 Vertical profile of Ev_z power spectrum



Origin of the (Vertical) Radiation Advection Ev_z (continued)

 radiation advection patterns for n=3 adiabatic polytropic modes comparison between the simulation and adiabatic polytropic mode



 Radiation advection pattern in the simulation can be reproduced by epicyclic + breathing mode + radiative diffusion.

Thermal Stability of MRI Disks (continued)

- Why MRI disks can be thermally stable?
 - time lag between stress and pressure relaxes the instability criterion (1 - s) < n
 - 2. timescale of the large-amplitude turbulence fluctuations is longer than t_{cool}
- On the α prescription
 - When time-averaged over many thermal times, pressure is correlated with stress as the α model predicts.
 - ▶ Causality is critical: $T_{r\phi} \rightarrow P$, not vice versa. Stress fluctuations drive pressure fluctuations, creating a correlation between the two.



thermal energy equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(E+e) + \nabla \cdot ((e+E)\boldsymbol{v})$$
$$= -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F} - \boldsymbol{p} (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v}) + \boldsymbol{q}^{+} - \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}} : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}$$

 averaged thermal balance equation

$$\leq q^{+} \geq \underbrace{- - < \mathsf{P} : \nabla \boldsymbol{v} >}_{\text{compression work}}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dz} \underbrace{\langle (E+e) \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{F} \rangle}_{\text{thermal energy flux}}$$

"magic" dissipation rate in radiation-dominated regime

Amount of dissipated energy that radiative diffusion flux can transport is vertically fixed constant (Shakura & Sunyaev 1976).

$$q_{\text{magic}}^+(z) = \frac{c\Omega_{\text{K}}^2}{\kappa_{\text{es}}}$$
 (constant)

hydrostatic balance

$$\frac{\kappa_{\rm es}F_z(z)}{c} = \Omega_{\rm K}^2 z$$

thermal balance

$$q^+(z) = \frac{dF_z(z)}{dz}$$